



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/633,297	08/03/2000	Arron Uri Levy	DENSE-015X	2376
36485	7590	05/02/2005	EXAMINER	
J. SCOTT DENKO ANDREWS & KURTH LLP 111 CONGRESS AVE., SUITE 1700 AUSTIN, TX 78701			GRAYBILL, DAVID E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

09/6331297

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20050421

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

David E Graybill
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2822

The reply filed on 4-13-5 is nonresponsive because it fails to conform to the provisions of MPEP 714.03:

37 CFR 1.111. Reply by applicant or patent owner to a non-final Office action.

(b) In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to the Office action. The reply by the applicant or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiner's action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. If the reply is with respect to an application, a request may be made that objections or requirements as to form not necessary to further consideration of the claims be held in abeyance until allowable subject matter is indicated. The applicant's or patent owner's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section.

(c) In amending in reply to a rejection of claims in an application or patent under reexamination, the applicant or patent owner must clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. The applicant or patent owner must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Where a bona fide response to an examiner's action is filed before the expiration of a permissible period, but through an apparent oversight or inadvertence some point necessary to a complete response has been omitted - such as an amendment or argument as to one or two of several claims involved or signature to the amendment - the examiner, as soon as he or she notes the omission, should require the applicant to complete his or her response within a specified time limit (usually one month) if the period for response has already expired or insufficient time is left to take action before the expiration of the period. If this is done the application should not be held abandoned even though the prescribed period has expired.

Specifically, the reply fails to present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render newly presented claims 10-14 patentable over the applied references. Since the above

Application/Control Number:
09/633,297
Art Unit: 2822

Page 3

mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given a
TIME PERIOD of **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from
the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which
to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid
abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME LIMIT MAY BE
GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

For information on the status of this application applicant should check PAIR:
Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private
PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available
through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see
<http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private
PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-
free).


**Alternatively, applicant may contact the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733.
Telephone status inquiries should not be directed to the examiner. See MPEP
1730VIC, MPEP 203.08 and MPEP 102.**

Any other telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier
communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at
(571) 272-1930. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00
p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number:
09/633,297
Art Unit: 2822

Page 4

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'JH R M' with a stylized flourish at the end.

David E. Graybill
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2827

D.G.
21-Apr-05